

### Assessing the Impact of Biodiversity Conservation in the Management of Maize Stalk Borer (*Busseola fusca*, F.) in Nigeria

Dr. E. O. Ogah

Designation: Ph.D. Department of Crop Production and Landscape Management, Ebonyi State University PMB 053 Abakaliki, Nigeria; <u>emmamarg2005@yahoo.com</u>

#### Dr. E. N. Ogbodo

Designation: Ph.D. Department of Soil Science and Environmental Management, Ebonyi State University PMB 053 Abakaliki, Nigeria; <u>emmanwaogbodo4sem@yahoo.com</u>

Abstract - Maize is a staple food crop for most households in Nigeria and is grown in almost all agroecological zones. Unfortunately maize yield is too low to keep pace with the rate of population growth, leading to serious food insecurity and poverty. The low yield is associated with incidences of stem borers. The impact of intercropping maize with bambara groundnut in the management of African maize stalk borer, (Busseola fusca, Fuller Lepidoptera:Noctuidae) were assessed in Nigeria during 2009/2010 farming seasons. The results showed that maize plots intercropped with bamabara groundnut significantly reduced the larval densities, number of stem bored and percentage dead heart compared to maize monocrop in both seasons respectively. Buildups of natural enemies of the borer were observed to be higher in plots that were intercropped than in the control/sole and contributed in the reduction of stem borers infestations. Equally, the total grain yields were significantly higher under plots that were intercropped compare to plots under monocrop. Intercropping system has the additional advantage of higher land productivity than the monocrop. In conclusion intercropping maize with bambara groundnut improved; biodiversity of the ecosystem, stem borers management and increased maize yield.

**Keywords** - Biodiversity conservation, farming system, maize stem borer management, yield.

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Maize is one of the most important cereal food crops in Nigeria, and in many parts of Africa [1],[2]. production in Nigeria Unfortunately maize is characterized by poor resource farmers with limited access to land, technology and capital with resultant low yield. Maize yield is too low to keep pace with the rate of population growth, leading to serious food insecurity and poverty [3]. The low yield is associated with incidences of stem borers and low adoption of crop management package technologies that can reduce yield losses thereof. Every effort made so far to improve maize yield has not given the desired results because of pre- and post harvest losses due to biotic factors [4].

Among the most important biotic constraints to maize production is insect infestation [4]. Of the various insect pests attacking cereal crops in Africa, Lepidopteran stem borers are by far the most injurious [5] - [7]. Stem borers infestations have seriously limited the attainable yield potential of maize across maize producing regions of the world.

Of the seventeen stem borer species that attack cereal plants in Africa, *Busseola fusca* Fuller (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae), *Sesamia calamistis* Hampson (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae), and *Eldana saccharina* Walker (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae) are of greatest importance species that attack maize plant [7]. *Busseola fusca* is an indigenous stem borer species that attack many cereal crops and the most important stem borer species in Nigeria. Its distribution and pest status varies according to the altitudes and is more severe in the higher altitude than lower ones [8]. The female adults lay its eggs mainly on young plants and on unfolded leaf.

The stem borer attacks the plant from the vegetative stage through maturity. The larvae feed on the young leaves and tunnels made at the primordial point of growth severe the growing point of the plant resulting in a condition called dead-heart. When it attacks the stem, tunneling by the larvae on plants dislodges nutrient circulation and photosynthesis resulting in early leaf senescence, stunting of the plant, grain malformation and reduced grain yield [1], [2].

The yield losses caused by stem borers to maize vary widely in different places depending on prevailing factors, but are typically in the range of 20-40% of the potential yield [5], [9]. It have equally been reported that when maize grains are damaged by pests such as stem borers, it becomes susceptible to infection by pathogens such as *Aspergillus*, thereby increasing the total loss [10].

The high stem borer infestations being observed in the recent time may be attributed to reduction in biodiversity in agro-ecosystems in the last decades following intensification of cereal agricultural systems. Research results have shown that manipulation of agro-ecosystems to enhance the overall biodiversity have relatively fewer pest problems [4]. Farming practices that conserve such biodiversity as ground fauna and pests' natural enemies may be a practical alternative to manage pests in agricultural systems.

Consequently, the adoptions of locally available technologies in the management of stem borers in Africa

Copyright © 2012 CTTS.IN, All right reserved



have been stressed [2]. It is the most relevant and economic method of stem borer control available for resource-poor farmers in Africa [7]. Although cultural control options like intercropping for stem borer management appear promising, most African farmers have not adopted them [11].

Hence evaluating available intercrop options to exploit the benefit of controlling maize pests would serve as a strategy for improving maize protection and grain yield. Thus in this study, we assessed the beneficial impacts of intercropping maize with bambara ground nut (which is generally considered to be pest resistant) in the management of *Buseola fusca* stem borer incidences on maize.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

Field experiments were conducted at Ebonyi State University Research Farm during 2009 and 2010 farming seasons to evaluate the effect of intercropping maize with bamabara groundnut in the management of stem borer for improved maize yield. The experiments were laid out in randomized complete block design with three replications. Two spatial arrangements were used in the intercrops: i) a within row arrangement where each maize plant was followed by a bambara groundnut and ii) strip planting in which two rows of maize were followed by two rows of bamabara groundnut crop, with one row of bamabara groundnut as first and last row borders in a 20m<sup>2</sup> plot each. Each experiment has control plot with insecticide treated to allow an estimation of yield losses due to stem borers attack. Data were collected from 12 randomly selected maize plants per plot fortnightly for the assessment of plant damage (% stem bored and % dead-heart) and borer larval abundance. Sampling started 30 days after planting (DAP) and continued till maturity of maize. At each sampling date, four maize plants were dissected and borer larvae, pupae and any natural enemy (seen within or outside the maize plant) were identified to species and counted per plant per plot. Corn yield was assessed at maturity by randomly selecting 100 ears from each plot and examining them for insects and insectrelated damage. Related damage was rated visually on a scale (1-3). Collected data were analyzed using [12]. Land Equivalent Ratio (LER) was estimated to test the productivity of the mixture according to [13].

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The results showed that intercropping has significant influence on the incidence of *B. fusca*. The maize plots intercropped with bamabara groundnut significantly reduced the larval densities, number of stem bored and percentage dead heart compared to maize monocrop in both seasons respectively (Table 1). This is in line with the result reported by [14]. According to him when maize was intercropped with cassava in Nigeria, its effect reduced the larval numbers of *E. saccharina*, *B. fusca*, and *S. calamistis* populations by half. Field trials in Eritrea showed that when sorghum was intercropped with haricot beans, cowpea, desmodium and Dolichos lablab the incidences of dead heart were much lower compared

to pure stand sorghum [15]. Similarly, such results have been reported by [4]. According to them when maize was intercropped with non-host crops (e.g. cassava and grain legumes), the incidences of stem borers/damage were significantly reduced following higher level of parasitism recorded under mixed cropping than monocrop maize.

The results showed more abundance of natural enemies of B. fusca under intercropped plots than under the monocrop plots (Table 2). Of all the natural enemies recorded Cotesia sesamiae that attack the larval was the most common parasitoid encountered, followed by the predatory ants, others were the parasitic wasps and parasitic flies. However, parasitic wasps that may attack eggs were the most important (e.g. Trichogramma spp. and Telenomus spp.) or caterpillars (e.g. Bracon spp and Cotesia sesamiae). Similar results have been reported in Kenya in the recent time by [16], [17]. According to them, when maize was intercropped with the no host molasses grass, Melinis minutiflora the borer incidences were highly reduced through the activities of natural enemies. According to them, volatile agents produced by the molasses grass repelled stem borers but attracted foraging C. sesamiae, which also has more sources of food under intercrop.

The result showed that total grain yields were significantly higher under plots that were intercropped compare to plots under monocrop, while the percentages yield losses due to stem borer's infestations were significantly lower in intercropped plots than in monocrop respectively for both seasons (Table 3). In studies carried out in Cameroon, maize monocrops had 3 to 9 times more stems tunneled and 1 to 3 times more cob damage than maize intercropped with non-host crops such as cowpea, cassava and soybean, which resulted in a higher yield in the intercropped maize [7]. The increase in yield loss recorded under monocrop compare to intercropped maize in this study is in line with the results reported by [4]. According to them, maize yield losses due to stem borers were about 2 to 3 times higher in monocrops than in intercrops. A recent study in Cameroon found that stem borers, primarily B. fusca, were responsible for a 9-g loss in grain yield per plant per borer and caused 11% loss of plants owing to dead heart [18]. The 2:2 maize/ bambara intercrop produced significantly higher number of cobs, number of seeds and seed weight (total grain yield) with less infestation than 1:1 maize/ bambara intercrop (Table 3). This confirms the report by Singh, and Ajeigbe (2002) that strip cropping system involving two rows of densely planted cereal and four rows of densely planted cowpea appeared to be significantly more productive than the traditional practice of one row cereal by one row of cowpea. Similarly, the results on grain yield showed that intercropping system has the additional advantage of higher land productivity than the monocrop (Table 3). This is in line with the results reported by (Chabi-Olaye et al., 2006). The net production of mixed cropping systems was economically superior to controlling stem borers with insecticide in monocropped maize. The higher yield recorded under the intercrop may be



attributed to the role of intercropping in increasing the fertility of the soil [20]. It has also been reported that colonization of the maize plant by borers, severity of infestation and damage strongly depend on the cropping system and soil fertility, which affects the nutritional status of the plant as observed under the present result [21], [22].

The significant higher Land Equivalent Ratio (LER) values (greater than 1.0) recorded in both years showed the advantages of intercropping systems over monocrop (Table 4). The yield increase might probably be due to the advantageous effects of legume in increasing nutrient level of the soil for the maize, thus using local available inputs that are at the disposal of the resource-poor maize farmers for sustainable crop protection for an enhanced food security and gross benefits in environmentally sustainable manner.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author thanks the Faculty of Agriculture, Ebonyi State Univeristy, Abakaliki for providing both the land and other inputs that were use in carrying out this research work.

#### **REFERENCES**

- [1] R. Kfir, *Maize and grain sorghum: southern Africa*.1998, pp. 29–37
- [2] A. Polaszek, African Cereal Stem Borers: Economic Importance, Taxonomy, Natural Enemies and Control. Wallingford, UK: CABI. 1998, 530 pp.
- [3] FAO, FAOSTAT Agricultural Data. 2000,.<u>http://apps.fao.org/</u>
- [4] Z. R. Khan, J. A. Pickett, J. Van den Berg, L.J. Wadhams, and C.M Woodcock, (2000). Exploiting chemical ecology and species diversity: stemborer and striga control for maize and sorghum in Africa. *Pest Management Science*. 56: 957-962.
- [5] A. Youdeowi, Major arthropod pests of food and industrial crops of Africa and their economic importance. In *Biological Control: A Sustainable Solution to Crop Pest Problems in Africa*, ed. JS Yanninek, HR Herren, pp. 51–60. Ibadan, Nigeria: Int. Inst. Trop. Agric. 1989, 210 pp.
- [6] J.M Songa, D. Bergvinson, S. Mugo and D. Hoisington, *Characterization and quantification of arthropods in two maize production environments in kenya*. Seventh Eastern and Southern Africa Regional Maize Conference 11th – 15th February, 2001. pp. 38-44.
- [7] R. Kfir, W. A. Overholt, Z. R. Khan, and A. Polaszek biology and management of economically important lepidopteran cereal stem borers in Africa Annu. Rev. Entomol.. 2002; 47:701–31.
- [8] W.H.T Tams, J. Bowden A revision of the African species of *Sesamia* Guenee and related genera

(Agrotidae— Lepidoptera). Bull. Entomol. Res. 1953; 43: 645–78.

- [9] K.V. Seshu Reddy, and T.T Walker, A review of the yield losses in graminaceous crops caused by *Chilo* spp. *Insect Science and its Application*, 1990; 11; 563 – 569.
- [10] N.A. Bosque-P'erez, J.H. Mareck. Effect of the stem borer *Eldana saccharina* (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae) on the yield of maize. *Bull. Entomol. Res. 1991;* 81:243–47.
- [11] K.F. Nwanze,R.A.E. Mueller Management options for sorghum stem borers for farmers in the semi- arid tropics. Int. Workshop Sorghum Stem Borers, Patancheru, 1989, ed. KF Nwanze. pp. 105–14. Patancheru, India: ICRISAT.
- [12] SAS The SAS Institute Inc. Cary. No. 1989 27513, 2003. USA.
- [13] N. M. Fisher, Studies in mixed cropping II, Population pressures in maize-bean mixture. *Experimental Agriculture* 1977); 13: 185-191.
- [14] T. Kaufmann, The behavioral biology, feeding habits and ecology of three species of maize stem borers, *Eldana saccharina*, *Sesamia calamistis* and *Busseola fusca* in Ibadan, Nigeria, West Africa. J. Ga. Entomol. 1983; 18:259–72.
- [15] ICIPE, A Primer on Planting and Managing 'Push-Pull' Fields for Stemborer and Striga Control in Maize A Step-by-Step Guide for Farmers. By Z.R. Khan, F.N. Muyekho, E Njuguna, J.A. Pickett, L.J. Wadhams, N.Dibogo, A. Ndiege, G.Genga and C. Luswetti, 2005.
- [16] Z.R. Khan, K. Ampong-Nyarko, P. Chiliswa, A. Hassanali, S. Kimani, W. Lwande, W. A. Overholt,, J. A. Pickett, L. E. Smart, L. J. Wadhams, and C.M Woodcock, Intercropping increases parasitism of pests. *Nature* (London), 1997, 1997, 388:631-632.
- [17] S.M. Cook, Z. R.Khan, and J. A. Pickett, The use of push-pull strategies in integrated pest management. *Annual Review of Entomology*, , 2007); **52**, 375-400.
- [18] K.F. Cardwell, F. Schulthess, R. Ndemah, Z. Ngoko, A systems approach to assess crop health and maize yield losses due to pests and diseases in Cameroon. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* 1997; 65:33–47.
- B.B Singh, and H.A Ajeigbe, Improving cowpeacereal based cropping systems in dry savannas of West Africa. In Challenges and Opportunities for Enhancing Sustainable Cowpea Production.
  C.A. Fatokun, S.A. Tarawali, B.B. Singh, P.M. Kormawa and M. Tamo(eds). IITA, Ibadan, Nigeria 2002., pp: 276-284.
- [20] A. Chabi-Olaye, C. Nolte, F. Schulthess, and C. Borgemeister, Relationships of soil fertility and stem borers damage to yield in maize-based cropping system in Cameroon. *Ann. Soc. Entomol.* Fr. 2006; 42:471-479.



- Chabi-Olaye, F. Schulthess, and C. [21] A. Borgemeister, Effects of Nitrogen and Potassium Combinations Yields on and Infestations of Maize by Busseola fusca (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) in the Humid of Cameroon. Journal of Economic Forest Entomology 2008; 101(1): 90-98t.
- [22] M. Sétamou, F. Schulthess, N. A. Bosque-Pérez, and A. Thomas-Odjo, The effect of stem and cob borers on maize subjected to different nitrogen treatments. Entomol. Exp. Appl. 1995; 77: 205-210.



Table 1: Effect of intercropping maize with bambara n	ut on mean number of number of larvae, stem bored and
dead heart by B. fusca under different planting system	for 2009/10 farming seasons.

Percentage infestation						
Treatments	2009					
	No. of larvae	No.stem	No.dead	No.of	No.stem	No.dead
		bored	hearts	larvae	bored	hearts
BN/Maize (1:1)	16.6b	6.9b	12.3c	13.9b	7.1b	6.3b
BN/Maize (2:2)	11.5c	6.2b	13.5b	10.1c	5.5b	5.2b
BN/Maize(1:1) control	1.3d	5.3c	6.7d	0.9d	2.1c	0.9c
BN/Maize(2:2) control	0.7e	3.5d	2.9e	0.0d	0.3c	0.0c
Sole maize	25.8a	26.1a	29.8a	24.6a	25.2a	28.9a

Means followed by the same letter(s) in the same column do not differ significantly (SNK-test,  $P \le 0.05$ ).

## Table 2: Mean Population of different natural enemies of *B. fusca* under different planting system for 2009/10 farming seasons.

	Mean abundance of natural enemies									
		2009					2010			
Treatment	C. sesamiae	predator y ants	<i>Trichogra</i> - <i>mma</i> spp.	Teleno- mus spp	Bracon spp	C. sesamea e	predator y ants	<i>Trichogra</i> - <i>mma</i> spp.	Teleno- mus spp	Bracon spp
BN/Maize (1:1)	17.3	13.1	9.3	-	1.5	13.2	12.9	7.1	-	1.1
BN/Maize (2:2)	19.1	15.3	11.1	3.3	2.3	17.5	14.2	8.3	2.4	2.1
Maize sole (control)	9.7	7.7	5.7	-	-	6.9	6.8	3.3	-	-

## Table 3: Effect of intercropping maize with bambara nut on total grain/ percentage yield loss under different planting system for 2009/10 farming seasons.

		Grain Yield		
Treatments	2009		2010	
	Total grain yield	% yield loss	Total grain yield	% yield loss
	(t ha <sup>-1</sup> )		(t ha <sup>-1</sup> )	
BN/Maize (1:1)	2.7b	12.9	2.5b	10.7
BN/Maize (2:2)	2.9b	12.1	2.8a	6.7
BN/Maize(1:1) control	3.1a	38.7	2.8a	39.3
BN/Maize(2:2) control	3.3a	42.4	3.0a	43.3
Maize sole	1.9c		1.7c	

Means followed by the same letter(s) in the same column do not differ significantly (SNK-test,  $P \le 0.05$ ).

# Table 4: Effect of intercropping maize with bambara nut on Land Equivalent Ratio under different planting system for 2009/10 farming seasons.

Treatments	Land Equivalent <b>F</b>	latio	
	2009	2010	
BN/Maize (1:1)	1.1b	1.1b	
BN/Maize (2:2)	1.1b	1.1b	
BN/Maize (1:1) (control)	1.6a	1.6a	
BN/Maize (2:2) (control)	1.7a	1.8a	

Means followed by the same letter(s) in the same column do not differ significantly (SNK-test,  $P \le 0.05$ ).